



Court concludes that the Plaintiff is entitled to an award of attorney's fees under the EAJA.

The Plaintiff has submitted an executed fee agreement, pursuant to which the Plaintiff has agreed to assign any EAJA fee award in favor of counsel. [Doc. 27-1]. The Court finds that the Commissioner should accept this assignment of the awarded fees by the Plaintiff to counsel and upon receipt of such assignment, the Commissioner will pay that award of fees directly to Plaintiff's counsel, provided that it is shown that the Plaintiff does not owe any debt to the United States Government which is subject to offset. See Astrue v. Ratliff, 560 U.S. 286 (2010).

**IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED** that:


- (1) The Plaintiff's Motion [Doc. 24], as amended [Doc. 26], is hereby **GRANTED**, and the Plaintiff is hereby awarded attorney's fees in the amount of Six Thousand Nine Hundred Dollars (\$6,900.00), which sum is in full satisfaction of any and all claims by the Plaintiff in this case pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2412(d);
- (2) Within thirty (30) days of the entry of this Order, or some other time as determined by the Court upon good cause shown, the Commissioner shall inform Plaintiff's counsel whether the Plaintiff owes a debt to the Government by which this fee award

may be offset. Before any funds are disbursed to counsel, the Plaintiff's counsel shall provide a valid fee assignment to the Defendant;

- (3) In the event that past-due benefits are awarded on remand, the Plaintiff shall have sixty (60) days after being served with notice of the past-due benefits award to file for an award of fees pursuant to the Social Security Act, 42 U.S.C. § 406(b); and
- (4) No additional motion pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2412(d) may be filed.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Signed: January 3, 2023

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Martin Reidinger  
Chief United States District Judge

